24N0V87

Checked OCA Calendar for possible representatives for CIA.

There was no Representatives at the SFRC Subcmte on Western Hemisphere and Peace Corps Affairs RE S. 1614 U.S. Policy with respect to Panama from CIA.

The Transcript that is enclosed is a comtist

C/OCA REGISTRY

## Stenographic Transcript of

### **HEARINGS**

#### Before the

Subcommittee on Western Hemisphere And Peace Corps Affairs

of the

Committee on Foreign Relations

# UNITED STATES SENATE

S. 1614

U.S. POLICY WITH RESPECT TO PANAMA

Washington, D.C. October 22, 1987





(202) 628-9300 20 F STREET, N.W.

Declassified and Approved For Release 2012/08/23 : CIA-RDP91B00389R000500320001-

Δ

#### CONIENIS

SIAIEMENI_QE:	PAGE
Hon. Edward M. Kennedy, A United States	
Senator from the State of Massachusetts	10
Hon. Alfonse M. D'Amato, A United States	
Senator from the State of New York	21
Dr. Robert Cook-Deegan, National Advisory	
Committee of Physicians for Human Rights	
Hon. William Jorden, Former U.S. Ambassador	
to Panama, Mc Lean, Virginia	40
Colonel Charles B. Stone (Retired), Former	
Head of Military Assistance Advisory Group to	
Panama	48

S. 1614

U.S. POLICY WITH RESPECT TO PANAMA

Thursday, October 22, 1987

United States Senate
Subcommittee on Western
Hemisphere and Peace Corps
Affairs

Committee on Foreign Relations Washington, D.C.

The subcommittee met at 2:33 p.m. in Room SD-419, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Hon. Christopher Dodd (chairman of the subcommittee) presiding.

Present: Senators Dodd (presiding), Cranston, and Helms.

Senator Dodd: The Subcommittee on Western Hemisphere and

Peace Corps Affairs of the Foreign Relations Committee will

come to order.

For the record, I want to state in preparation for these hearings, the subcommittee extended an invitation to both the State Department and the Defense Department to testify on the legislation before us today. I regret that for their own internal bureaucratic reasons, they have decided not to avail themselves of the opportunity.

With that, I have a short statement I would like to make,

and then I understand Senator D'Amato is on his way over here. We will hear from Senator Kennedy and Senator D'Amato and other members before we hear from our additional witnesses.

Today the Subcommittee on the Western Hemisphere will hear testimony concerning recent events in Panama and the appropriate U.S. policy response to those events.

Four months ago, charges by a former member of the Panamanian Defense Forces against General Norlega and certain other Panamanian authorities sparked massive demonstrations and demands for General Norlega to step aside pending an independent investigation into those allegations. Despite efforts by Panamanian authorities to stifle dissent, demonstrations and general strikes have continued, as evidenced by the march and general strike planned for today.

Were any of these charges new? Not really. Many of these allegations had long been rumored in Panama and abroad. However, it is clear that the announcement by Diaz Herrera, the former Chief of Staff of the Panamanian Defense Forces, came at a moment in time when Panamanian businessmen and politicians, students and housewives had become fed up with the pervasive official corruption, fed up with the military establishment which has forgotten what its primary mission is, namely, the national defense of the nation, and not intimidation of its citizenry, and fed up with a regime

which talks about democracy while undermining freedom.

It seems clear to those of us who have watched events unfolding over the past several months that the Panamanian people have said loudly and clearly, enough is enough. They are not going to buy attempts by General Norlega to wrap himself in the Panamanian flag to deflect legitimate criticism against him. They do not believe, as he has asserted, that foreign intervention by the United States is responsible for the current unrest in Panama, or that this is all a plot by the United States to take back the Panama Canai. The Panamanian people know that the United States is not the problem. They know that we stand fully prepared to carry out our obligations under the terms of the 1977 Panama Canai Treatles, and that we expect the Panamanian government to do likewise.

The United States Senate has expressed its concerns on two separate occasions with respect to recent developments in The House has taken similar action. The United States must demonstrate firmly and publicly that we will not stand idly by while Panama's transition to democracy is derailed. General Noriega cannot continue to expect the United States to provide economic and military assistance to a government which is not representing the interests or desires of its people.

Today we have pending in this committee legislation

introduced by Senators D'Amato, Kennedy and others to terminate assistance to Panama unless concrete steps are taken by the Panamanian authorities to restore Panama to the democratic road. Time is running out for the Panamanian authorities. They know what must be done to reverse the certainty of congressional action. More importantly, they know what must be done to preserve the possibility and promise of democracy in Panama. I only hope that these

individuals have the courage to do what must be done.

not, the real losers are the Panamanian people.

Our lead-off witnesses this afternoon are Senators

Kennedy and D\*Amato, both of whom have followed recent events

in Panama clossly over the past several months and have

sponsored legislation which is the subject of today's hearing.

I welcome both of you to the hearing, and I will first turn to my colleagues here, Senator Helms and Senator Cranston, for any opening statements they may have, and then, Senator Kennedy, we will go right to you.

Senator Heims: Mr. Chairman, Senator Kennedy was here for the morning session, and I am almost obliged to say we have got to stop meeting like this.

It is always a pleasure to see you.

Senator Kennedy: I think we are going to have more agreement this afternoon than we had this morning.

Senator Helms: Exactly.

Mr. Chairman, I am glad that we are finally getting around to holding a hearing on the legislation offered by several of us. Senator D'Amato and I are the principal co-sponsors of one bill, and then we are co-sponsors of the other, and we are all in the mix, so to speak.

Our bill, the D'Amato-Helms bill, was introduced last August, and the crisis in Panama has grown increasingly worse since. Now, our bill would cut off all military and economic aid to Panama until the President certifies various conditions regarding democracy and human rights in Panama.

Since June of this year, Mr. Chairman, the people of Panama have been in the streets almost daily, as you have mentioned, demanding democracy and the resignation of General Norlega, the de facto dictator of that country. Even today, as we hold this hearing at this moment, the people of Panama have taken to the streets again in what was to have been the largest raily ever against the dictatorship of Norlega. However, as in the past, this rally was declared illegal by the Panamanian government, and the people are risking their lives by attending, let alone participating in it.

The government of Panama has become more repressive than ever. Even American citizens have now become targets. In the last month an American CNN journalist was expelled from Panama for reporting on opposition railies, and last week a retired U.S. colonel was expelled from the country because he

was known to have been critical of Noriega.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And last week, ten American military personnel in Panama were arrested. They were beaten and held incommunicado overnight. And prior to that, the economic counselor at the U.S. Embassy was detained and held incommunicado for eight hours.

Just two nights ago, the largest department store in Panama City was burned to the ground. The employees believe that they were being punished for having announced that they would participate in the opposition raily today.

Two days ago, in anticipation of the opposition rally today, the government of Panama arrested approximately 100 people who were known to be participating in the activities of the opposition in one form or another, to one degree or another.

So, Mr. Chairman, the government of Panama has thus far taken no positive steps which would make them eligible to receive American aid furnished by the U.S. taxpayers. For years, some of us have been warning about the serious problems in Panama involving human rights and international drug trafficking and money laundering and so forth and so on.

Around this hemisphere, Mr. Noriega is seen as a threat to the stability of the entire region. One month ago the Inter-American Human Rights Commission of the Organization of American States publicly condemned the government of Panama

for being responsible for the brutal murder of Dr. Hugo Spadafora. But that government will not even appoint a commission to investigate that murder.

American taxpayers should not under any circumstances be financing a government which we know is involved in the kind of activities I have just mentioned. I believe it is unwise to wait any longer to pass the D'Amato-Heims bill or any one of the other pieces of legislation which are related. These pieces of legislation have wide, bipartisan support, and I trust that the Senators will work together to bring this to the floor as quickly as may be possible.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Dodd: Thank you, Senator Helms.

Senator Cranston?

Senator Cranston: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Dodd, as chairman of the Western Hemisphere
Subcommittee of the Foreign Relations Committee, and our
first two witnesses, Senator Kennedy and Senator D'Amato,
have provided strong and very effective leadership in
pressing for passage of Panama-related legislation. I
believe personally that it is urgent for the Senate to act
now in support of efforts to speed the departure of the
bandit regime being headed by Colonel Noriega. It is in the
U.S. national security interest, and it is our moral and

10.

humanitarian obligation to use our limited influence to hasten the restoration of democracy in Panama where today a truly vile, sinister band has hijacked the instruments of democratic government.

The continued stalemate in Panama is accelerating radicalization tendencies. Witness the killing of a demonstrator last month, the shooting of Ambassador Gabriel Lewis's son, and the repeated threats and intimidation against professional U.S. diplomats and American soldiers by Noriega's thugs.

I joined with Senators Kennedy, D'Amato and Helms to introduce two Panama bills last August. One formalizes the suspension of J.S. aid and establishes clear human rights democracy conditions for its resumption. The other suspends imports of sugar under Panama's quota until the some human rights democracy conditions are met.

The purpose here, today, is to zero in on the Noriega crowd, which has a virtual monopoly on exports from the cane plantations, and that is the purpose of this legislation. Under the Dodd amendment, which I wholeheartedly supported, these measures may take effect 45 days after enactment of the Department of Defense bill unless changes take place in Panama. But I would like to see these sanctions take force immediately, and I think we should take steps to that end.

For reasons wholly unrelated to Panama, we do not know

when or if the Defense bill will be come law. Clearly it will not for some time. We need to act on Panama now.

Let me say that I am not wedded to any specific proposal, but I do feel strongly that we should move effective legislation on this subject now, this month, to sustain moderates in Panama and to keep up the nonviolent pressure.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Dodd: Thank you, Senator Cranston.

Senator Kennedy, we welcome you to the committee. We know you are busy, and appreciate your patience in listening to these opening statements.

We will be glad to include your entire statement in the record, and to receive your testimony in any way you would like to present it.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

20 F ST., N.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001 (202) 628-9300

Я

STATEMENT OF HON. EDWARD M. KENNEDY, A UNITED STATES
SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS

Senator Kennedy: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman and the members of the committee.

I want to first of all commend you, Mr. Chairman, for commencing these hearings, and I think it sends a very clear message to the Panamanian people our very deep concern about the human rights issues affecting the people of Panama and our strong commitment to democracy in Panama. At a time when the Senate of the United States has been debating the war powers issues, the whole questions of our policy in the Persian Gulf, at a time when we are facing significant economic challenges, this committee, under your leadership, is focusing the attention of the Senate of the United States on the whole issues of democracy and human rights in Panama, and I think it is an extremely important message for those that are attempting to deny the Panamanian people their basic and fundamental rights, General Noriega, that he understands the power of this particular message and the importance of it.

Just a few brief items, Mr. Chairman. Only a few moments ago I left the Defense Authorization Conference where the Dodd resolution was being considered, and I can indicate to you that in the panel on which I was representing the Senate as a member of the Force Projection Subcommittee of the Armed Services Committee there was broad and wide support for the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dodd resolution among the members of the House committee, the Foreign Affairs Committee of the House of Representatives.

So I think our friends again should understand that we are seeing not only here in the Senate, not only here in the formulation of legislation, but we saw again as the Senate is marking up that extremely important piece of legislation, that there is proad, wide, bipartisan support.

I think another factor that is interesting and significant today is the fact that the Majority Leader as well as the Minority Leader have agreed to the recommendations of the Senator from Connecticut and Senator from North Carolina and Senator from California, and my colleague, the Senator from New York's request for having a conformed group travel to Panama, and staff members who are experienced and knowledgeable and have a breadth of awareness of the situation there and then report back to this committee and to the Senate generally. That is an extremely unique step that is taken under only the most extraordinary circumstances. I think it may be something that is just looked at in terms of procedure, but usually these kinds of staff investigations are only available in the most significant priorities established by the Senate. So I think that message ought to be understood.

Mr. Chairman, these hearings occur at a moment of extraordinary significance for Panama. I understand that

Panama City today is an occupied city. Five thousand troops in combat outfits, armed to the teeth, weapons at the ready, are effectively occupying that city and resisting the peaceful demonstrations of men and women, and even children, whose only desire is to be able to express what we take for granted here in this country, and that is an ability to express their dissent at the government of General Noriega.

So It is in that climate and atmosphere that we hold these hearings, why these hearings are so extremely important, and why the message that should go from these hearings to General Noriega, that the group that has been mentioned here today are in for the whole war. We are not in for just the one battle. And we are going to follow this step by step, every step along the line until General Noriega himself is going to step aside, and democracy is restored in Panama.

Mr. Chairman, in the face of unprecedented repression and intimidation, the people of Panama are in the streets today calling on their government to cease its dictatorship, and the arrests and detentions, to allow the people of Panama once again to be free. The whole world is watching, and we wait with hope, but also with a certain trepidation, to see what the answer will be.

Mr. Chairman, I fear the worst. I fear that the events of today in Panama will write one more sad and tragic chapter

of bloody repression in a national history already filled with stories of murder, corruption, drug smuggling, gun running and fraud. And at the heart of the problem if General Manuel Noriega, the Chief of Staff of the Panama Defense Force.

The causes of the crisis in Panama are, of course, much more profound than the tyranny of one individual. But because of General Noriega's own history, the allegations of criminality and corruption, because of his resort to repression rather than reconciliation, those causes cannot be addressed so long as General Noriega remains in power.

This is not just my own personal view or the view of the United States Senate. It is, more importantly, the view of the overwhelming majority of Panamanian citizens, as reflected in the statements of dozens of civic and professional and political organizations.

Let me recount briefly the most significant events that have led to the crisis that afflict Panama today. The event that triggered this crisis occurred on June 7, Sunday, when Retired Chief of Staff, Colonel Robert Diaz, granted an interview to the Independent newspaper, La Prensa, and in that interview Diaz accused his former friend and colleague, General Norlega, of murder, extortion, corruption, fraud and various other high crimes and misdemeanors. Among the other things, he accused Norlega of rigging the 1984 presidential

election and ordering the slow torture, murder and beheading of Hugo Spadafora.

Two nights later, on the evening of June 9, the people of Panama made history when they formed the National Civic Crusade. This extraordinary organization, originally composed of 29 civic and professional groups, was established outside of the traditional political parties for the purpose of conducting a campaign for decency and justice in Panama. Another 39 organizations joined the crusade during the next few days, and since then, the National Civic Crusade has led all Panama to an unprecedented effort to restore the rule of law, to revive democratic institutions, and to return Panama to popular government.

Since those earliest days, the people of Panama have been subjected to unrelenting repression and brutal subjugation. Hundreds have been arrested and detained for no reason other than the honk of a horn or a wave of a white handkerchief. Hundreds more have been assaulted and beaten. And in response, the government of Panama imposed a state of emergency, suspended all fundamental freedoms; freedom of the press, freedom of expression, freedom of association are now things of the past in Panama.

General Norlega and his armed shock troops known as

Dobermans, have kept all Panama under the iron fist of total

control and arbitrary terror.

Recent evidence of the repression. I would like to include in the record two news articles by Julia Preston, dated October 17 and October 20. In the first article Ms. Preston catalogues instances of brutality by the Panamanian riot police. She reports that at least three Panamanians have been killed since the crackdown began, and that more than 1000 have suffered significant injuries from bird shot used by the riot police. Six of these individuals were blinded. She also reports the opposition newspaper La Prensa remains occupied by soldiers who have blocked its staff from entering the building since it was raided on July 26.

In the second article, Ms. Preston reports that the Panamanian government has apparently targeted U.S. diplomats, servicemen, other U.S. citizens for harassment. The former head of the U.S. Embassy's Military Group, Colonel Charles Stone, has been deported. The PDF agents recently conducted a nighttime prowl of the U.S. Chief of Mission John Meista. For his own security, Mr. Meista has been forced to move to an apartment house.

In addition, our economic counselor, David Miller, was detained at the scene of an antigovernment demonstration on September 13 and held for eight hours.

More recently, when protesters erupted the night of October 7, the PDF picked up nine out-of-uniform U.S. servicemen who were held for six hours before U.S.

authorities were notified.

[The information referred to follows:]

[SUBCOMMITTEE INSERT]

Senator Kennedy: In the face of such repression, the Congress cannot remain passive. We cannot be in favor of human rights in the Soviet Union and in Cuba and be silent about human rights abuses in Panama.

On August 5, under the leaderships of Senator D'Amato and Senator Cranston, we introduced S. 1614 which called for a halt of U.S. economic and military assistance to Panama unless the President could certify that the government of Panama had demonstrated concrete progress in the efforts to assure civilian control of the armed forces, and unless the government of Panama had established an independent investigation into the allegation of criminal wrongdoing by members of the PDF, and unless other constitutional guarantees were restored.

Then on September 24 the Senate, by a vote of 97 to nothing, unanimously adopted the Dodd resolution which pledged that all U.S. assistance would be terminated unless those conditions were satisfied within 45 days.

I would ask permission to include these two pieces of legislation at this point in the record.

Senator Dodd: Without objection.

[The Information referred to follows:]

Senator Kennedy: I appear before you today to testify in support of S. 1614. The Senate should keep its solemn pledge to the people of Panama. Before this Congress adjourns this year, we should send an unmistakable signal to all the people of Panama that the American people will have nothing to do with a military dictatorship that clings to power by systematically abusing the human rights of its people.

As a government and as a people we should say nothing, we should do nothing that could in any way be construed as providing ald or comfort to the Norlega regimes. In passing this legislation, we should also make it clear that we do not mean to interfere in the internal affairs of the nation of Panama. We are only responding to the pleas of the Panamanian people. We do not seek to abrogate the Panama Canal Treaty. That treaty is the law of the land today, and the United States of America will abide by its commitments. We do not seek to destroy the Panamanian Defense Forces. We understand that there is an appropriate role for a professional military in democracy.

The issue is not the PDF. The issue is the rule of law as opposed to the rule of one man.

I congratulate the Chairman for his leadership on the issue. I am proud to appear here before this subcommittee with Senator D'Amato, who has played an important role in the struggle, and I urge my fellow Senators to join with us in

keeping our pledge to the people of Panama.

11.

we are with you today. We will be with you until you are victorious in the struggle finally to bring freedom and justice to your land and to your people.

[The prepared statement of Senator Kennedy follows:]

Senator Dodd: Thank you very much, Senator Kennedy.

Senator D'Amato, we are delighted to receive your testimony.

Your statement will be included in the record. Please proceed as you see fit.

STATEMENT OF HON. ALFONSE M. D'AMATO, A UNITED STATES
SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Senator D\*Amato: Mr. Chairman, first let me commend you for taking the time to hold these important hearings, and indeed they are important because people's lives are at stake, and the destiny, I believe, of the Panamanian people is closely linked to what we do, what actions we take, and whether or not we are perceived as really standing for democracy and saying that we place a value on human rights, that we are going to stand for the principles of democracy wherever, and that is the test.

Let me say, Mr. Chairman, I am going to ask, as you have indicated, that my testimony be accepted into the record as if read in its entirety. Let me touch on the fact that this is an effort that has brought together Democrats, Republicans, liberals and conservatives, and that while we talk, and there has been much consideration as it relates to another area in Central America, that area which divides people in terms of how or where and what we should or should not be doing, Nicaragua, that it would seem to me that we would make a grave error in not recognizing that our policy here in Panama is at least as important as the issues confronting this nation in Central America vis-a-vis Nicaragua, and that it is vital that the world know about the courage of the Panamanian people and the reprehensible

actions of their leader.

Let me underscore the fact that while some of us may have had certain feelings as it relates to the Panama Canal, that that is not an issue. Mr. Noriega would attempt to make it the issue, but the issue here is the rights of the people. We have to be vigilant in our government that we not do anything which directly or indirectly would appear to sanction Noriega's hold or his power.

In fact, it is incumbent upon us to do everything to demonstrate to the Panamanian people our unwavering support for the principles of democracy. And that is what is at stake, our credibility, if any Panamanian people are watching us, and indeed, they are acting at this present time, as Senator Kennedy has testified.

The conditions are growing worse, and as we press forward to see to it that S. 1614 becomes implemented — and I believe it will have to be implemented because I do not think it would be reasonable for us to feel that those conditions that must be met within the 45 day period pursuant to your resolution, Mr. Chairman, will be undertaken, that the people will not be given democracy, that the armies will be used against the people, that indeed, hostilities have now even been carried against citizens of the United States with regularity, and it is rather troubling to see that instead of moving to an accommodation with respect to the legitimate

rights of the people, Noriega has taken an attitude of toughing it out, so to speak.

So it becomes important that we do not have our military even appear that they are countenancing as a result of cooperative efforts in terms of drills — there is a very important drill that will be coming up in January. If that drill is undertaken, I am very much concerned that we will be creating the appearance that we are with Noriega. That would be a great blow to our credibility as it relates to the people.

I think as it relates to the cutoff of sugar, Senator Cranston's legislation, it is absolutely paramount that we push forward with that. That was a brilliant stroke, and again, an effort joined in by Senator Helms, Senator Kennedy, and I believe yourself, Mr. Chairman, because we find that those dollars enrich the very people who are part and parcel of this effort of subverting democracy and subverting the true wishes of the Panamanian people, none less than the President himself receives a substantial, the President of Panama receives a substantial portion of those incomes, and the government of Panama receives a substantial part of those incomes.

So I commend you, Mr. Chairman, for your holding this hearing.

I would like to say that it has been a pleasure, in the

And I thank the Chairman.

seven years I have been in the Senate, to see the way we have come together, as I mentioned, from all sides of the political spectrum, Senator Helms working with Senator Cranston and Senator Kennedy, you and I, Mr. Chairman, together in this effort, and it is important that we continue.

[The prepared statement of Senator D\*Amato follows:]

Senator Dodd: Well, we thank you, and let me commend both for very fine statements.

I could not agree with you more, Senator D\*Amato, that the sense of coming together around this particular issue is a message that certainly cannot be lost either, one, on General Norlega and the people, the few who are supporting him, but Just as importantly, in fact, more importantly I think, as Senator Kennedy pointed out, on the average citizen in Panama today who wants to know whether or not this country stands with them and behind them in an effort to bring democracy to that country. I think that Joining together, as you say, is extremely important and sends a very clear and strong message.

So we are appreciative to have both of you here.

Senator Heims, did you have a question?

Senator Helms: Mr. Chairman, I want to join you in expressing your appreciation for two excellent statements by two capable Senators.

May I ask unanimous consent, sort of a personal privilege. Senator Kennedy alluded to the civic, professional and business groups who got together to form the opposition, and I have just noted the arrival of the Directors of the National Civic Crusade, which is exactly what he was talking about.

Would it be appropriate -- they cannot testify because

they are not U.S. citizens, but would it be all right if they stood to be recognized?

Senator Dodd: Certainly. I see no objection to that.

Senator Helms: I see one, two, three, four, five.

Senator Dodd: The record should note that we welcome these people.

Senator Kennedy: Mr. Berea, I think, is the chairman of this group.

Senator Dodd: Yes. We welcome you here today, and we appreciate your being here.

Thank you, Senator Helms.

Senator Helms: Thank you very much, gentlemen, and I thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Dodd: Senator Cranston, do you have any questions?

Senator Cranston: No, I have no questions, but I do want to thank both Senators for very fine statements and very effective and hard work on this.

Senator Dodd: I would tell you that I know Senator Pell is strongly supportive of this effort as well, and we will try at the earliest possible date to move forward.

You will note that one of the bills here, involving the sugar quotas, could not be referred to this committee. The Parliamentarian referred that to the Finance Committee. So we only have one bill before us today, the one that does not

specifically include the quota. But that does not preclude this committee from amending that legislation to include the sugar issue.

I am going to explore that question of sugar because I think it is an extremely important one with the witnesses we have coming after our two colleagues here are completed, because I think, as Senator D'Amato pointed out, the effect on the government, I think the government is one of the major beneficiaries of the quota rather than private individuals in that country. So the impact of that particular decision could be maybe the most direct.

Senator Cranston: If you can incorporate the sugar matter in this bill, it would be appreclated.

Senator Dodd: We will try to do that.

Senator Kennedy: May I just mention one point?

As Senator D\*Amato mentioned, the military operation, and it is called KENDALL LIBERTY, and it is supposed to take place in January. There has been a historical set of maneuvers related to the security of the Canal which one year is done jointly and then another year is done independently. It can be done independently by the United States without the joint cooperation and support.

I have already raised that issue with General werner of the Southern Command, as the Armed Services Committee in charge of the Force Projection Subcommittee, and that is in

the jurisdiction of that committee. So I will raise this again with General Werner, who is here in Washington this week.

I would welcome any of your comments on it. It seems to me that we ought to be guided by what the Democratic Forces in Panama would believe. There is one opinion that association between Americans and the PDF in this situation strengthens General Noriega. There is another feeling that if they demonstrate they work with the PDF, that the efforts that we are trying to undertake in the restoration of democracy would demonstrate to the PDF that they are not a part of our effort, and it is just General Noriega.

So to whatever extent members of this panel have on this issue, and to the extent that the Democratic Forces have in Panama I think should be counseled, and I would hope that we could work in concert together in making a recommendation to the Defense Department on that question. I would welcome a chance to counsel with all of the members as well as the Crusade members, on what is an appropriate step to take.

Senator Dodd: I think that is an excellent suggestion, and we will certainly do that.

I think it would be worthwhile at this juncture to mention as well, we are fortunate indeed to have as our Ambassador in Panama, Ambassador Davis, who I think has done a remarkable job, tireless. I spent two or three days with

him a month or more, or two months ago I guess now, and he has worked tirelessly on behalf of the people of not only our own country but the people of Panama. And much of what we know, we know because of his hard work and good efforts. We will be in touch with him as well.

I think he has been a tremendous source of advice and counsel on what is the best way to proceed in all of this.

Senator Helms. Mr. Chairman.

Senator Dodd: Yes.

Senator Helms: Mr. Chairman, before the two Senators leave, this is sort of a leading question but I think it ought to be placed on the record.

All of us have met many, many times with various leaders of the Panamanian opposition to Noriega. I would just ask the two Senators, Mr. D'Amato and Mr. Kennedy, and Mr. Cranston who is down there now, have you found all of these leaders with whom you have met to be democratic and pro-American?

Senator D\*Amato: I think, Mr. Chairman, the Senator puts his finger on a central theme. With all of us in the administration who are very much concerned about the departure of Noriega, with those elements who are today in the streets, that Senator Kennedy referred to, who have come together, were somehow less than or would create a situation where people — and a vacuum would be created that would be

less than supportive of the legitimate interests that the United States has as it relates to its own security, the Panama Canal in particular.

That is the farthest thing from the truth. Be It some of the operatives in the CIA who are attempting to create that situation or some very legitimate concerns, as Senator Kennedy has pointed out, coming from the military; we are talking about people who have a great affection for the United States, and they want democracy. And indeed, if there is a threat to the United States and its relationship with the people of Panama, it would be to create the appearance that in any way, shape or place we are supporting Norlega.

So I would say, Senator, the people who have come to the fore, the leadership, the totality of that leadership has strong, strong support for democracy and are good friends, and look upon the United States as close allies.

Senator Helms: That has certainly been my experience, and I am sure.

One final question also just for the record.

Is there any doubt in the minds of any of us that Noriega and other high ranking Defense Force members are involved personally in international drug trafficking and money laundering?

Senator D'Amato: Well, I will say that as Chairman of the International Drug Caucus, or co-Chairman with Senator

Biden, let me say that the facts are clear and overwhelming, and the testimony that has been produced from very reliable sources, various sources is clear and convincing that General Noriega has not only been involved in drug running, but a whole episode in terms of money laundering associated with the drug trafficking, associated with providing protection, sale of visas, et cetera, all those things that go hand in hand with the international drug cartel.

He has become, I will not say one of the major, but certainly a significant force as it relates to the international drug trafficking of the drugs themselves, and more particularly, the money.

Senator Helms: I thank the Senator.

Senator Kennedy: It is my understanding that there has been evidence that has been submitted to the Grand Jury, that an indictment itself has not been returned as yet, but I would be glad to provide those particular facts to you.

[The information referred to follows:]
[SUBCOMMITTEE INSERT]

Senator Helms: We have had executive sessions of the Foreign Relations Committee. We cannot discuss what we have heard, but the evidence is very clear.

I thank the Senator.

Senator Kennedy: Thank you very much.

Senator Dodd: Thank you both very, very much for being here.

Our first public witness is the Honorable William Jorden, former Ambassador to Panama.

Ambassador Jorden, why do you not join us?

In fact, why do we not bring up all three witnesses together. That way we might expedite things.

We will also hear from Dr. Robert Cook-Deegan, National Advisory Committee of Physicians for Human Rights, and Colonel Charles B. Stone (Ret.), former Head of Military Assistance Advisory Group to Panama.

we would appreciate all three of you joining us at the witness table.

Dr. Cook-Deegan: I have another lecture to give in about an hour.

Senator Dodd: Well, if so, then why do we not let you go first, and then we will hear your comments. If you have to skip out on us, we will understand that. But nonetheless, if you would proceed, then we will go to you, Ambassador Jorden,

STATEMENT OF DR. ROBERT COOK-DEEGAN, NATIONAL ADVISORY
COMMITTEE OF PHYSICIANS FOR HUMAN RIGHTS

Or. Cook-Deegan: Thank you. I will stay as long as I can. I hope to stay long enough to answer a few questions.

My name is Robert Cook-Deegan, and I am here in the capacity as a member of the Advisory Committee of Physicians for Human Rights. This is an organization that was founded in October of 1986 and represents about 200 physicians and health professionals across the United States. The primary mission of Physicians for Human Rights is to investigate the medical aspects of human rights abuses around the globe.

We undertook a mission to Panama from the 23rd to the 27th of August of this year at the invitation of the Panamanian Medical Association, the doctors and dentists and other health professionals of Social Security and of the Panamanian Committee for Human Rights. They had called us in response to the events of late June and early July and had asked us to come down and investigate the degree, and actually to document the human rights abuses that were taking place in Panama.

we went down for a five day visit, and during that visit we interviewed approximately 70 victims of human rights, we met with the Archbishop, we met twice with officials at the U.S. Embassy, met with the Panamanian Minister of Health and several other officials.

Our principal findings were, number one, that the human rights situation in Panama had been sporadically bad for a long period of time but got suddenly much worse in June, and that trend has continued to the current day. It has been getting worse and worse.

we documented six serious eye injuries that had led to partial or complete blindness. Doctors that we spoke with estimated there were another 20 injuries that we could not see directly. And they also estimated that approximately 100 people had been injured by bird shot in the month and a half period before we visited Panama. I do not know what those figures would be now.

There were three distinctive aspects of the human rights abuses in Panama City. The first was the widespread and indiscriminate use of bird shot. The second was widespread use of tear gas. But there was a funny way that tear gas was being used in Panama that we are not familiar with. Tear gas canisters can be used in many, many forms. They are all marked on the outside very clearly saying that they are toxic gases and should not be used indoors, and that people should be treated immediately after exposure. We documented many, many, many cases of shooting these into classrooms, into people's homes, into cars and into other enclosed spaces. In several instances this tear gas was shot in to induce people to leave the buildings, and then people were shot with

shotguns when they left those buildings.

.15

This obviously is a major source of injuries, both because of the tear gas itself, which is quite toxic to people who have asthma or other medical conditions that affect their lungs, and it is also exposing them to the bird shot wounds as soon as they leave the buildings.

A second very significant set of human rights abuses was connected to the violations of medical neutrality. Following the street violence in June and July, particularly on July 10, we were able to document that there was a process of medical screening that was being done not by medically trained personnel, but instead, by Panamanian Defense Force personnel. That is, if somebody was injured during those days, they would show up at the emergency room of several hospitals in Panama City and would be either turned away from treatment or were screened by the Panamanian Defense Forces and were followed in to the hospital, in some cases later taken away before their treatment was complete.

These are very serious breaches of international covenants to which Panama is party.

A third major aspect that we documented but not as thoroughly as we would have wished, there were many allegations of torture in the Panamanian prisons when people were taken in. We actually had direct documentation of two such cases. In one case a man was hung by his wrists for

approximately nine hours, leading to serious injury of his hands and arms. And in another case a man was, while in prison, was burned on his testicles and other places on his body.

I will just talk about two specific cases very briefly to give you a flavor for the kinds of things that we found. One case that we ran into was in one of the two rural provinces that we visited. We talked with a Senator Bortillo Mejia, who is a National Senator from that province, the province of Chiriqui. He was at one point taken out of his car where he was driving with his family, was beaten in front of his family, and was taken into prison and held incommunicado for several hours. This is a member of the national legislature.

A second case that received a great deal of press in Panama at the time were two girls who were playing on the streets on June 11, and in their own words, this is in the words of the nine year old girl; we were playing school. Someone said the police are coming. We ran away. I fell down. The police shot me.

And Heidi, an eleven year old girl, ran to the aid of this nine year old girl, and trying to pick her up, was herself shot. These two girls were taken to the emergency room, went into major surgery to evacuate blood from their lung cavities and from their abdominal cavities. The eleven year old girl had injuries to her stomach, to her small

intestines, and to her left kidney and had to have her spleen removed.

These are two of the cases. We actually have documentation of approximately 70 cases. I will not disclose any of the names because we are trying to handle this in a responsible manner, but more details will be made available to the committee if there are further questions.

Thank you.

[The prepared statement of Dr. Cook-Deegan follows:]
[COMMITTEE INSERT]

Senator Heims (presiding): Doctor, thank you very much.

We will hear the Ambassador and Colonel in a few moments,

but before you proceed, Mr. Ambassador, Colonel, you had

planned to retire in Panama, had you not?

Colonel Stone: Yes, sir. I retired on 31 July in Panama.

Senator Helms: But were you not expelled from Panama

during the past week?

Colonel Stone: Yes, sir.

Senator Helms: when it comes to your time to testify after the Ambassador, I hope you will go into some detail about the events that led up to your expulsion.

Well, Mr. Ambassador, we are glad to see you again. You may proceed.

STATEMENT OF THE HON. WILLIAM JORDEN, FORMER U.S. AMBASSADOR TO PANAMA, MC LEAN, VIRGINIA

Ambassador Jorden: Mr. Chairman, nine years and a few days ago I was meeting with the Senator Foreign Relations

Committee which was then considering the recently concluded Panama Canal Treaties. For most of us, it was an exciting time, filled with high expectations. We knew that we were on the threshold of a new era in relations between our country and the small nation of Panama.

The problem that had troubled those relations for more than 70 years was about to be solved. And indeed, I am bound to say, our expectations were fully justified. The treaties have worked well and to the advantage of both countries.

Above and beyond the treaties themselves, there was an additional reason for hope in Panama and among her friends. By then, in 1978, Panama had functioned under a de facto military rule for almost ten years. But during the treaty negotiations, Panama's military leader, General Omar Torrijos, announced his intention to return his country to a more democratic system. There would be, he said, a proper civilian government, and he would see to it that the military forces under his command would withdraw from governmental functions and return to their proper role defending the country against any external threat and providing an honest and effective police force.

Those pledges, by the way, Mr. Chairman, were given in person and directly to Majority Leader Byrd and Minority Leader Baker and to others.

That process of restoring democracy was fairly well advanced by the time General Torrijos was killed in a plane crash six years ago. One of his successors who had decided to enter the political arena quite correctly resigned his military command to seek office as a civilian. Then, in 1983, General Manual Antonio Noriega became the military ruler.

What has happened since is nothing short of a disaster. The Torrijos line was reversed 180 degrees. Instead of the military role diminishing, it increased. One after another of Noriega's cohorts was placed in key positions, in charge of customs, the railroad, the nation's ports, the airports, the immigration. Norlega followers were placed in controlling posts in the government ministries and in the courts. Many in private enterprise faced the unhappy choice of contributing to the Norlega political machine or being harassed and intimidated.

Finally, in June of this year, one of Noriega's closest military colleagues blew the whistle. General Roberto Diaz Herrera had been Chief of Staff of the military forces. We have heard the charges made by Colonel Diaz from you, Mr. Chairman, and from Senator Kennedy, so I will not repeat

them. But the Diaz revelations of broad corruption, drug dealing and so on, set off a revolution in Panama.

Most Panamanians had, of course, heard these allegations over the years and were inclined to believe most of them, but they never had been alred publicly, especially from a source who had been so close to Norlega for so many years. When the Diaz charges were published, thousands of Panamanians poured into the streets in protest. The opposition covered the broadest spectrum of groups and individuals, businessmen, students, teachers, housewives, professional people, workers.

A loosely knit organization of more than 100 civic and professional groups took shape in the Civic Crusade in Panama, and it is indeed a pleasure to see members and leaders of that crusade in this room today. They are courageous, honorable, decent people who are fighting hard for their country.

A series of peaceful public demonstrations took place and continues to this day. The people of Panama were voting in the only way that was available to them, with their feet and their hands, with banners and handkerchiefs and honking horns. Their message was loud and clear: Noriega must go. Panama wants democracy, justice and freedom.

Many of those demonstrations were brutally suppressed, as we have heard. People have been shot and beaten. Hundreds have been jailed. Leaders of the opposition have been

hounded, forced underground, imprisoned or, as our friends in the back testify, forced into exile.

Members of this committee should be in no doubt that what is taking place in Panama is a truly popular movement, one that reflects a widespread consensus among all shades of opinion. Not long ago, the Gallup International organization carried out a public opinion poll in Panama. It was a very comprehensive poll. It found that 75 percent of the people wanted Noriega to step down. Their goals were clear, restoration of real democracy, honest elections, evenhanded justice, and removal of the military from normal civilian activities inside the government and out.

In that poll, approximately 13 percent of the respondents were not eager to have Noriega leave. I reckon that is about the number who are dependent on him, who have government jobs, are in the military and in the whole network that he has established of support and cooperation. Twelve percent either had no opinion or were unwilling to say what it was.

The goals that have been stated by the people in this poll, by the Civic Crusade, by every decent politician in Panama, are demands that I think should hearten us Americans. We should also admire the courage with which these demands of the Panamanian people are being expressed every day.

What should the United States do In the face of this

many friends in Panama that this is, at heart, their problem. It must in the end be solved by them in their way. It is not for us to supply blue prints or rigid prescriptions, but we must not stand aloof and look the other way. We have a deep and longstanding interest in the safety of the Panama Canal. We have a significant military presence in Panama, one that is important to our security posture in the entire region. We Americans also have a historical commitment to the proposition that democracy and freedom should prevail, and that dictatorship and repression should be eliminated wherever our influence can be effective.

Finally, we have an abiding concern for the well-being, the prosperity, and yes, the happiness of the Panamanian people, our longstanding and probably closest friends in Latin America.

The Senate resolution calling for restoration of civilian rule and urging General Norlega to step aside was received with enthusiasm by the Panamanian people. Even more impressive and effective was the cutoff of military and economic assistance to the Norlega regime. That signal went from one end of Panama to the other, and its meaning was unmistakable.

I have no nesitation in endorsing the spirit and the letter of Senate Bill 1614 and of Senator Cranston's

proposal, which I think is 1615. This mandates a cutoff until certain conditions are met. Its passage will have, I believe, a healthy impact on the Panamanian people and on Norlega and his cohorts.

Let me quickly say that I am not usually a proponent of efforts to fine tune policy by committee or congressional action. I know --

Senator Dodd (presiding): Spoken like a good guy from the State Department.

Ambassador Jorden: Most members of this committee are aware of the many pitfalls that lie down that road.

In this case, I think the situation fully justifies the action, but I would suggest that one of the problems that attends this kind of action is the occasional difficulty we have in altering or reversing it when circumstances have changed.

One of these days General Norlega is going to step aside. When that happens, his dictatorial regime will be replaced, let us hope by one that is more open and honest and democratic. I think the committee will agree that we would want to help such a new government put Panama back on its feet again. We might want to quickly restore the assistance that is being severed, or even augment that cooperation.

Panama has suffered economic devastation during these recent months, and a democratic government is going to have

its hands full in eliminating the chaos that Noriega and his cohorts have created.

So I merely say, by all means, let's help cut off aid that helps make Noriega strong, but let us be equally quick to act in support of a successor regime that will have to sweep up all the broken crockery.

I would like to make one final point, Mr. Chairman. As the crisis in Panama has deepened, a beleaguered General Noriega has looked desperately for scapegoats to blame for his plight. In the process, he has found it convenient to claim that the United States has caused his problems. It is all a plot hatched in Washington to destabilize his government and to hold on to the Panama Canal forever. I frankly do not think that that Noriega play causes a warm reaction in Panama City. Still, 75 years of mistrust and suspicion do not disappear in the twinkling of an eye. If there were not at least a few customers, Noriega would not be trying to sell that line.

The Panama Canal Treaties were negotiated in good faith.

They were approved by the required two-thirds of the Senate.

They were ratified by our government. And they are, as

Senator Kennedy said, the law of the land. In acting against

Noriega in cutting off aid, we should make it unmistakably

clear that we have no intention of abrogating or diminishing

the treaties in any way. There should be no room for doubt

about our intentions in this matter.

To do otherwise I think would be to court a disaster far greater than the one that now besets the good people of Panama and their friends.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Dodd: Thank you, Mr. Ambassador, very much for your statement.

Colonel Stone, we welcome you, and I should point out to my colleague that Colonel Stone retired in July this year, and was just deported from Panama, having married a Panamanlan woman and sought and received permission to spend his retirement years in Panama, but was just asked to leave the country by General Noriega.

I am sure you will want to comment on those situations.

But we are sorry to hear that. But we welcome you here today and look forward to your testimony.

STATEMENT OF COLONEL CHARLES B. STONE (RETIRED), FORMER HEAD OF MILITARY ASSISTANCE ADVISORY GROUP TO PANAMA

Colonel Stone: Thank you, sir.

.11

I might say I appear today with a little bit of trepidation because my family is in Panama, my wife, three daughters and a granddaughter, to be exact. I might say that last night they did not sleep in our house, they slept in a neighbor's house because there was some fear on their part for what was happening in Panama yesterday and today. So I am somewhat concerned.

On the morning of the 15th of October I arrived in Miami a somewhat shocked individual, to put it bluntly. I arrived with no baggage. I had been detained for six hours prior to being put on an airplane at 3:15 in the morning, 3:45 in the morning. The Customs inspector, as I went through, asked me where my baggage was. I told him I had none. He said, where did you come from? I explained. Then his next question was how much money are you carrying? I was not carrying very much, I might add.

I left Panama without any communication with my family, without a chance to pack any bags. I was put on an airplane. And I think I will just tell you how that all came about.

I have lived in Panama off and on for 14 years. The most recent tour in Panama started in 1979 when I initially was

assigned as the Deputy Commander of the U.S. Army Security
Assistance Agency for Latin America. In 1983 I went to the
U.S. Embassy and became the Military Group Commander, working
directly with the Panama Defense Force. For five years I
have worked very closely with the Panama Defense Force to
implement U.S. Army, U.S. Government security assistance
programs in that country.

I have had very close relationships with the Panama Defense Force. I have worked hard to create an atmosphere of cooperation between our countries and to facilitate the activities of USSOUTHCOM in Panama through this cooperation. I have been involved in the force development of the Panama Defense Force and in the training of personnel and officers in order to assist in their professionalization and to assist them in a transition towards democracy where their military would be able to perform a military function and withdraw from some of the activities that have been previously mentioned.

This long period of cooperation has come to somewhat of a screeching halt, as you can imagine. It happened in June when the Panama Defense Force, particularly General Noriega, was accused of General Diaz Herrera of various crimes that have already been stated, and Panamanians went into the streets. During that early period in June I spent time observing the activities of the Panama Defense Force, and

particularly observing their use of U.S. military equipment that I had been responsible for providing them.

This caused a great problem for me in what I had rationalized during five years of why I was performing my mission.

As that month went on, I had talks with embassy officials concerning my concerns but had no chance to state my concerns to the Panama Defense Force.

On the 10th of July there was to be a very large gathering of people at a Catholic Church called El Carmen in Panama City as part of the Civil Crusade. This activity was repressed by the opinion Panama Defense Force. They were never able to assemble. The people returned to their barrios and reacted by building barricades.

I spent the day on the road again observing the activities of the Panama Defense Force and was shocked with the excess use of force, the indiscriminate use of fire, use of tear gas and shotguns, the destruction of people's property, and decided at that time that I had to state my concerns not only to the Ambassador, which I did, but also to the Panama Defense Force.

Unfortunately, the next day two of my daughters, who were driving in my car down the road, one of them with a white handkerchief outside the window, were stopped and arrested and detained. During the four hour process during their

detention, as I was trying to effect their release, I had the opportunity to talk to a senior member of the Panama Defense Force, and I critiqued him rather severely as to the activities that I had observed by the Force. I told him that what I had observed had destroyed the rationale that I had used during a long period of time to provide the kind of support that I was providing. I told him their actions convinced me that their statements on making a transition to democracy were simply talk, and there was no basis in their actions to support that.

I was told by this officer that no, they were in a transition to democracy, but there were bad people, people who were trying to destabilize Panama, and I might say, Senator Helms, your name was mentioned in that discussion.

Senator Helms: I am sure.

Colonel Stone: And some of the people in the back of the room here, their names were mentioned also.

I asked him then what democracy was in Panama, to define it for me, and he was not able to do that. So I said to him, well, if there are elections in 1989 and the Christian Democrat leader, Arios Calderon, if he were the party's nominee for that election and he won, would he become president? And I was told Arios Calderon will never be President of Panama.

Then I said your definition of democracy is a democracy

where there are elections and your candidate always wins. I got no response to that.

But as of that day, my contact with the senior members of the Panama Defense Force ended.

when I returned to my car that day there was about \$2,000 worth of damage done to it. The headlights were broken, it had been hit with a blunt object on the hood and side of the car. There was damage to the rear lights and things of that nature.

My next experience which may have caused me even more problem than this one, happened on the 30th of August, after I retired. I, my wife and one daughter were having lunch at the Panama Golf Club, and on the way home we observed a large march of Crusada people marching along the road that goes to the airport towards San Miguelito, and they were to assemble at the Roosevelt Monument as you enter San Miguelito.

we decided to drive by another route around this march to go to the Roosevelt Monument where my wife wanted to walk forward and meet the people who were coming towards the monument. I parked my car about 30 meters from the monument and was waiting there locking the car when two cars pulled up behind my car. I saw some really tough characters get out of those cars that did not seem that they were here to observe a nonviolent manifestation. So I walked towards the cars, since my wife and daughter were there.

All of a sudden I heard a voice say Colonel Stone, you are not involved in this. I looked down, and I saw the legislator from San Miguelito, Lucho Gomez. I told him that I am not involved in this, I am just here observing. He said, well, you cannot be here. You cannot be part of this. And I said I am not part of this, I am here observing. And he looked me in the eye and he said, well, I am not involved in this, and he drove off.

The people that he had brought then crossed the road, they started a fight, I ran towards my family to try to get them out of there, I looked back, saw pistols drawn and the shooting started.

I got my family out of there. By the time I was able to return to my car, about an hour later, the same car, I might add, all the windows were broken in the car, other damage was done to it with rocks that had hit it. That time it was only \$3,800. The car still ran, I might say.

I am very popular, Senator, with my insurance company in Panama.

But initially, right after that started a series of attacks upon me in the legislative body and also in the government press saying that I was involved in the political movements in Panama, that I had brought the villains to this manifestation that had started the violence, I was responsible for the injuries and the one death that took

place at this event, I was, if you say threatened with criminal action against you. I kept my mouth shut, went about my business, and it slowly died away.

Unfortunately, when things are going bad, sometimes they get worse. On the 13th of September, two weeks after this particular event, my wife and I driving in my daughter's car, were caught in a shootout in San Miguelito. It was a peaceful manifestation coming down the highway which was in honor of the anniversary of the death of Hugo Spadafora. The manifestation, the marchers were attacked by a group of people, gunfire started. My wife was in that march. I happened to be back sort of trying to keep an eye on her.

By the time I got her and we were trying to get out of there, the armed people were in front of us and behind us, and we were forced out of our cars at gunpoint. Our car was stolen and later burned. That was my daughter's car. She has not forgiven me on that one yet.

That, I might say, was an event that nobody recognized me, nor was I ever accused of being there by the government, but it did have an impact on my family.

On the 9th of October I took my wife to a manifestation, a small one, let her off. I parked my car across the road in a parking lot. Within a short period of time I was arrested by a number of officers. They charged me with not having a license plate on my car. When all the windows in my car were

broken, they also stole the license plate.

I told them that I had talked to a lawyer in Panama. He had informed me that I need not get a license for my car because I had a plastic license they put on your windshield which showed that my car was registered and was licensed. They said that is not the case. They gave me a ticket. They hooked my car to a tow truck and impounded it, where it sits today, impounded by the Panama Defense Force.

That is the one that had the total of \$6,000 worth of damage. So it is not in bad shape right now; it is just unusable.

On the 13th of October I was called by Immigration. I was told that I was in Panama on what is called a turista pensionado, that there had been allegations made against me by the G-2 of the Panama Defense Force, that I had been involved in political manifestations contrary to public order, and as of that moment, at that time, on that day, my visa was withdrawn. I therefore was in Panama with no authority, and I must leave immediately that afternoon or the next morning. That was about 3:30 in the afternoon.

I informed the inspector from Immigration that that was impossible, that I had a family here and that I had certain things to do, but that I would leave as soon as I could appropriately do that.

On the next morning, the 14th, I was called back to

Immigration and I was shown the resolution of Immigration, which essentially said the same thing, asked to sign it, which I did not, and said we remind you that you must leave immediately.

I started to make steps to leave. That evening I was called back to Immigration and trapped there and told that they had orders to take me directly to the airfield. I said I would not go, that I wanted to make a phone call to the U.S. Ambassador, and that I wanted to make a phone call to my wife. They said we have orders to take you; you will go. I said no, I will not. I want to drive my car home, I want to pack my bags, and then I will go. And they said, you will go. I said no. And then as the eight people gathered around me, I decided I would go.

They took me to the airport, held me there until a 3:45 flight, put me on the airpiane with a ticket, I might say, to Washington, D.C. I thought that was awfully nice. And lo and behold, I found my — they allowed me to make one call, and that was at 3:30, they came in with a telephone, plugged it in, and handed it to me, and my wife was on the phone, and calling me a knucklehead and where are you, and I found myself in Miami sort of wondering what my next step would be.

That is my story. I guess I represent to them someone who has supported them very, very strongly for five years and then apparently turned against them. I might say I did not

turn against them. I did criticize the way they were applying force. I did criticize the way they were using U.S.-provided equipment, and I showed concern that they were not making a transition to democracy.

Senator Dodd: Colonel, is that the end of your statement?

Colonel Stone: Yes, sir.

Senator Dodd: I thank you very, very much, and that is a compelling story.

Unfortunately, it is obviously not an isolated story. It is unique in your case because of your relationship with the PDF over the years, and the deportation, obviously, but as you are obviously aware from what you witnessed firsthand, Panamanians do not have the luxury of being asked to leave the country when they are caught in this situation; they are treated in a far less gentle way, obviously.

I wonder if I could just address some questions to you, Mr. Ambassador, and to you, Colonel, as well. And Doctor, feel free to Jump in if you have some observations quickly.

And if you have to leave, we will understand that as well.

Dr. Cook-Deegan: I will take off in just a few minutes.

Senator Dodd: One, Mr. Ambassador and Colonel, in light of the recent events in Panama, do either of you still believe that it would be possible to hold free elections in Panama If General Norlega remains as Commander-in-Chief of the Panamanian Defense Forces.

Is that possible?

Ambassador Jorden: I think that with Noriega in charge of the Defense Forces, a free election is totally impossible.

Senator Dodd: Do you agree with that, Colonel?

Colonel Stone: Yes, sir. I stated my belief to the Ambassador after the experience I had on the 13th of September, that elections were not in the cards for 1989.

Ambassador Jorden: Might I just add, Mr. Chairman, if elections were held and Noriega were in, even if they were free, the Panamanian people would not believe they were free.

Senator Dodd: We have been told that there are elements within the military who are receptive to democratic reform, but that for the obvious reasons they are unwilling to step forward to be heard.

I wonder if that is still the case.

Colonel, maybe this would be more correctly addressed to you.

Did you see any change prior to your departure in a willingness on the part of those elements that apparently exist to begin to take matters in their own hands?

Colonel Stone: I do not think I have seen that. I think the Panama Defense Force is a rather cohesive organization. The Panama Defense Force is characterized by a very strong chain of command. It is characterized by strong orientation and indoctrination of people throughout the chain of

command. They periodically hold meetings for different officer groups in order to explain their positions and to gain support to their positions.

In this kind of an atmosphere, it is very difficult for one to become someone who might disagree with the party line. And though I personally feel that there are people, awfully good people in the Panama Defense Force — I mean, we have characterized this organization pretty badly today — there are some very outstanding people in that force, and there is no doubt in my mind that there is concern on their part for the reputation that their Commandant and their force is getting not only nationally but internationally. But it is hard for them to express that kind of a feeling.

So I have seen nothing that would indicate to me personally that there is dissent.

Senator Dodd: Mr. Ambassador, have you been close enough to the situation to comment on that?

Ambassador Jorden: No, because the military forces in Panama have changed significantly since I left.

I do know that there are members of the Defense Forces who are at least suspect in General Norlega's eyes, and those individuals are under very close surveillance.

Senator Dodd: All right.

Colonel, you heard the Ambassador comment how important it was for the United States to restate its commitment, not

. 24

that it necessarily needs to be restated, but I think for the purposes of being understood in Panama since General Noriega is making such an issue of the fact that he believes this is an effort to undermine the Panama Canal Treaties.

Since he has tried to make that case, I and others have suggested that we ought to at least be willing to restate the principle that we intend to live up to our international obligations, in this case specifically the treaties.

Do you agree that that is important for the United States to be saying at this juncture?

Colonel Stone: That is essential to be said at this juncture because it is part of the party line that this is an attempt by the U.S. government to destabilize them with the goal of not living up to the treaty agreement. So it is exceptionally important to do that.

Senator Dodd: Again for both of you, the Panamanian people do not have a history of violence, unlike many of their neighbors in the region; in fact, it is a history of the absence of violence at all. Nor have they been what you would call aggressive politically over the years. And yet we see with the Crusade, the Civic Crusade, many thought it was merely going to be sort of a one time event, it might last a few days, a week, a couple of weeks maybe, but that given the absence of any history of political activism on the part of the Panamanian people, that this would propably not last very

long.

Obviously that has not been the case. It seems to be growing stronger.

How credible is the Crusade in terms of its ability to really put the kind of pressure on General Noriega to create some change?

Ambassador Jorden: I think you are quite right, Mr. Chairman. What has happened in these last four months is quite unique in Panamanian history. God knows there have been demonstrations and riots and all the rest of it in the past, but never I think have we seen this kind of sustained, regular, daily repetition of popular sentiment, feeling and emotion, and it is quite incredible to many people. I must say that when it started I had the fear that it would not last and that people would get tired.

But the feelings in Panama are so deep at this point, and they have lived under military rule for 19 years, and everybody, especially in dealing with the excesses of the last four of five years, everyone is so fed up that I think no one in Panama is going to be content until General Noriega departs the scene.

Senator Dodd: Let me ask you, too, two suggestions made. One in the bill here has to do with the sugar quota, and Ambassador, let me address this to you. I do not know, Colonel, if you were in the room when Senator Kennedy raised

the issue of having these joint maneuvers and the issue of whether or not it made good sense to have the joint maneuvers or not to have them, what the effect or impact would be.

You could make a case, as he tried to point out, for them or for not having them. But the sugar quota thing, let me address that first, if I may, Mr. Ambassador.

what would be the effect on the government of Panama? I am told that the quota that the government, because of the government's involvement in the sugar industry so directly, that to reduce that quota would have an immediate and direct effect on the government as well as, obviously, on some private individuals who are operating, but unlike many other places around the world, this would have an immediate and direct effect on the government.

Is that the case? How much of an effect would it have, and do you think it is a wise decision?

Ambassador Jorden: Well, I think it surely would have a direct and immediate effect on the government in that the President of the Republic is one of the biggest sugar producers in Panama, and a colleague of his in the government is the second biggest sugar producer. So there is no question there will be an impact on the government.

In terms of the government's finances and so on, it would surely have an effect because they have been selling their sugar far above world prices and reaping the benefits, and

those benefits in the Panamanian system are shared with the hierarchy.

So It would directly and immediately impact on the economy.

Senator Dodd: Colonel, you may want to comment as well on the effectiveness of the Crusade. I did not give you a chance to respond to that.

Colonel Stone: well, I agree with what Ambassador Jorden said. I think the Crusade is a rather unique type of movement. It is made up of a tremendous amount of organization. It is a moral force, and it appears to me to be nonstoppable. I just hope they stay in the same type of activity that they are in right now, a nonviolent activity, because I think they will win quicker in that kind of strategy.

Senator Dodd: Now would you comment on the question of the advisability of joint maneuvers?

Colonel Stone: This is a very difficult item. it is difficult because of the presence of SQUTHCOM and a large number of U.S. forces with families in Panama. General Noriega has fired a shot across the bow recently a couple of times warning that he has a lot of cards. When he has accused us of intervention recently, when some Air Force officers visited the U.S. Embassy, he I thought was telling the United States that I can make things very difficult for

you, military people who are here in Panama.

There is a quality of life problem that has to be thought about for U.S. families, for U.S. personnel. Our people in Panama shop in Panama City, they must leave their military bases to go to the commissary which is on another military base, and things can be made a little bit difficult for them, I think.

That is a concern, but I might also say that it is my observation and opinion that the relationship between the Panama Defense Force and the U.S. military in Panama is very important to them. It not only provides credibility to them locally, they use it for credibility, but it provides credibility for them internationally when they can show that they are in a close relationship with the United States and doing things such as exercises.

I think this is very dangerous for us at this time. I think, it is my opinion that we should not be participating in these exercises during this very critical period. If we were to participate in these exercises, I would not be surprised to see some members of the Crusada out in front of the Embassy. I think they are seriously concerned about the support of the United States to a continuation of Noriega in Panama.

Senator Dodd: Thank you very much, Colonel.
Senator Helms.

Senator Helms: Mr. Chairman, thank you, sir.

Mr. Ambassador, let me get into this business of the question of if and how much money General Noriega has received in the past and may be receiving now from the U.S. government in the form of some payment from the United States government.

What do you know about that?

Ambassador Jorden: I do not know anything about the amounts or extent of money payments. I know that he has been closely associated with the United States for a long time.

Senator Helms: Would you tell me what agency was paying him?

Ambassador Jorden: Well, as I say, I cannot testify that he has been paid. He has had a close relationship with the Central Intelligence Agency for many years. As you and I know, the Agency in operating abroad generally has close contacts with the existing intelligence service in many, many countries. Panama, in this case, was General Noriega as far as intelligence was concerned.

So it is no strange that there would be that relationship, but what the inner workings were and how much money changed nands, I really do not know. I have no idea.

Senator Heims: But you do know there was that relationship.

Ambassador Jorden: Yes, I do.

Senator Helms: And the fair presumption is that he did not do it for nothing.

Ambassador Jorden: That is a fair presumption I think,
Senator. On the other hand, intelligence people, as you
know, often operate on the basis of I will tell you something
if you tell me something.

Senator Heims: Well, here is a man who is clearly, who has been and still is clearly on the make and clearly on the take. I cannot get into classified testimony that Senator Dodd and I and others have heard, but this has got to be one of the most corrupt fellows that I have even heard about.

Ambassador Jorden: In recent history.

Senator Helms: Well, I will go back a little beyond that.

There is some talk, not a whole lot, particularly since the Senate has acted so decisively about resuming some sort of military and economic aid to Panama, suppose this were to be done — and I promise you, Senator Dodd, that I will use whatever parliamentary notions come to mind to prevent it if it comes up in the Senate suppose this should happen, and aid to Panama were resumed? What kind of signal would that send to Noriega?

Ambassador Jorden: If aid were resumed?

Senator Helms: Yes, sir.

Ambassador Jorden: Well, I think the signal would be loud and clear that the United States had capitulated.

Senator Helms: Was that a good leading question?

Ambassador Jorden: Yes.

Senator Helms: Well, let's talk about his relationship with Mr. Castro. I remember when I first started disclosing what I could lawfully and properly disclose that not only did the Panamanian government controlled press in Panama get on my case, but so did a lot of the media up here. But tell me what you know about the political ties and business dealings with Castro.

Ambassador Jorden: I think I have to point out, Senator, that, you know, I left Panama at the end of 1978. I have retired from government. I am not reading the cables. And when I was there he was the G-2 of the Guardia Nacional. He had clear contacts with Cuba. As a matter of fact, he performed a service for the United States in connection with Cuba in getting an American out of Jail. So --

Senator Helms: He has referred to that many times.

Ambassador Jorden: So it is only fair to cite that one instance of cooperation as opposed to a long catalogue of other more personal activity.

Senator Helms: That is right. There was that one act of gallantry.

Ambassador Jorden: He did it because General Torrijos told him to do it.

Senator Helms: Exactly.

Ambassador Jorden: Loud and clear, you know. It was not any altruism or friendship for the United States that brought it on except in the sense that Torrijos was friendly to me and to the United States and wanted to do something for us and did it. And Noriega did what he was told.

Senator Heims: Let me ask you the same question that I asked three Senators. Senator Cranston, by that time, had joined Senator Kennedy and Senator D\*Amato.

Is there any doubt in your mind about the drug trafficking activities, and now I am asking both of you gentlemen, is there any doubt in your mind about the drug trafficking activities and money laundering of General Noriega?

Ambassador Jorden: There is no doubt in my mind, Senator? Senator Helms: Colonel?

Colonel Stone: I really do not think I could comment on that, Senator. In my activities with them I worked very close with them at a tactical level for exercises that were to impede drug trafficking along their coast. These were exercises with the U.S. Coast Guard. So I really have no information other than they were very helpful to me.

Senator Helms: All right.

Well, one question that needs to be moved to the front burner a little bit in terms of our own interests, suppose the PDF under Noriega continues and continues and continues.

Then some real threat to the Panama Canal should occur, can the PDF as now constituted under Noriega, can they protect the Canal?

I guess, Colonel, you would need to answer.

Colonel Stone: Well, I think that is also difficult in that we would have to define what protection is. I am not sure that U.S. forces stationed in Panama can protect the Canal. I think that the Panama Defense Force, which is, the military side of it is a well trained force and they work very hard, and they have the capability of providing the limited kind of protection at the national level that we could provide, putting troops at vital installations, keeping angry mobs away from installations or the Canal. They can do those kinds of things very effectively, I would think.

Ambassador Jorden: Senator, if I might just interject.

Senator Helms: Please do.

Ambassador Jorden: I recall two men who should know that subject quite well. One is General Torrijos and the other was General George Brown, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and both of them said the Panama Canal could not be protected against a small, aggressive, well organized terrorist force.

Senator Helms: Yes. And you know, we are losing sight of that ball more and more here. We are focusing on other things. But it is paramount in my own interest.

Last month or maybe earlier this month, recently, the PDF detained and rather brutally beat up ten military personnel.

Do you know about that, Colonel?

Colonel Stone: Yes, sir.

11.

Senator Helms: Did the United States send a protest, official or otherwise, do you know?

Colonel Stone: I believe that occurred, sir. I was out of the Embassy at the time, thought, but I was under the impression that occurred.

Senator Heims: Do you happen to know anything about that?

Ambassador Jorden: I do not know.

Senator Helms: Mr. Chairman, I have only one other question. I am sorry Dr. Cook-Deegan had to leave.

Incidentally, let me say right now that all three of these gentlemen have been very helpful to me. The testimony has been superb, and I personally appreciate your giving the time to come.

Dr. Cook-Deegan was describing two little girls who were shot, and I wanted to ask him a question, were the police provoked by these children? Why did they pick them out to shoot?

Do you know anything about the case?

Colonel Stone: No, sir, I do not.

Ambassador Jorden: No, sir, I do not.

Senator Helms: I am very sensitive. I have a couple of

granddaughters, and I know you translate into how you would feel about their being treated like that.

But the question has been suggested to me, and I think it is a good one, if General Noriega were to step down or be forced out, what would, in your judgments now — I ask both of you this question — would be a reasonable timetable for conducting free and open elections in Panama?

Ambassador Jorden: Well, that is a question, Senator, that of course the Panamanians would have to answer.

Senator Helms: Right.

11.

Ambassador Jorden: I have talked to many of them about that, you know, how long it would take. The thing is that there is an Augean stable as regards the electoral process that needs to be cleaned out here. They would have to get a new electoral tribunal because the present one is loaded with Norlega cronies.

Their best estimate, talking now about the Panamanian politicians who know most about it, is that it would take about a year.

Senator Helms: About a year.

Ambassador Jorden: Yes.

Senator Helms: Here is a question you can answer.

Supposing such an election were ultimately to occur, and I hope it will, would you be willing to assist in serving as an impartial international observer at those elections?

Ambassador Jorden: I certainly would.

Senator Helms: We will recommend you.

Gentlemen, thank you very much.

Mr. Chairman, thank you.

-11

Senator Dodd: I just have one more question for the Colonel.

Just, you were talking about your feelings as someone who had worked so closely in military training, and I do not recall you being before this committee, but I am sure had you been asked a few years ago, you would have been here and been petitioning or strongly recommending that we provide additional military assistance, and yet I had this sense while you were talking about your own personal experience, your sense of chagrin seeing people and equipment that you had helped provide and helped train being used in an abusive way.

It is a constant, it is a growing problem. Just this year, for instance, I supported police training funds for El Salvador. For year that had not been done, and because I felt you have to try. The absence of it almost has a predictable response. So you keep at it. But yet it is a constant cry we get all the time that this kind of training, this kind of assistance, and then you turn around and you see the very forces that you were working with use our own equipment and angage in tactics for which we are in no small

measure responsible.

How do you account for this, or can you? What are your own suggestions in this regard?

colonel Stone: Well, as I looked at my Panama experience, I was counting on going the whole long run to the year 2000 to be able to have a long time to try to change behavioral habits, and I think that is one of the problems we have. We train and equip and we make them better at being a soldier or a sallor or an alrman and working with our equipment, but we do not do well in changing their behavior and changing their attitudes, and this is a very difficult thing. We found this in our experience with Nicaragua and with Somoza, and we trained so many of his troops for so many years. But the institutions that they return to are very, very strong and regimented, and have their values, and if they do not fall into those values, it is very difficult for them in terms of advancement in their organization.

So this is an extremely difficult question, Senator, and I have thought about it an awful lot over the years, and I must admit that I have not resolved this. I sometimes think that the experience of the military in the Philippines might be worth documenting to see how these groups of reformers tended to form. I know we did a lot of west Pointers out of the Philippines, sent a lot of people to West Point, and that may be —

Senator Dodd: Such as General Ramos.

Colonel Stone: Yes, and that may be a way to effect
these kinds of behavioral changes over a long period. But in
the short term it is highly impossible.

Senator Dodd: Well, I appreciate your response, and there is not really a simple answer to it, but I was struck by your own comment earlier as you said you watched those things occurring.

Well, first I want to thank my colleague Senator Helms for staying here. I know that he is deeply interested in this subject, obviously. It is always a pleasure to work with him. We spend a lot of time disagreeing, but we also spend a lot of time agreeing on things, more times than people think, I suspect.

well, and maybe we will submit some questions to Dr.

Cook-Deegan.

Senator Helms: May I ask just one final question of the Colonel?

Senator Dodd: Certainly.

Senator Helms: This is personal.

Have you made any judgment about whether you are going to bring your family to the United States?

Colonel Stone: I have some very important decisions to make in the near future, Senator, and I am not sure what

those decisions are going to be.

Senator Helms: Okay.

All I was going to say is if you decide to bring them here, if you need any help, let us know.

Colonel Stone: Yes, sir. Thank you very much, sir.

Senator Helms: You are welcome.

And thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Dodd: Thank you.

Senator Helms: I would like for the Director of the National Civic Crusade not to scoot out the door. I would like to say hello again and to shake hands, and if you would just sort of come forward as we adjourn here, I would appreciate it.

Senator Dodd: Thank you both very much again.

This subcommittee stands adjourned.

(Whereupon, at 4:18 p.m., the subcommittee adjourned.)